arXiv:math/0312094v6 [math.DG] 24 Feb 2005

$SU(3)$-INSTANTONS AND $G_2, Spin(7)$-HETEROTIC STRING SOLITONS

PETAR IVANOV AND STEFAN IVANOV

Abstract. Necessary and sufficient conditions to the existence of a hermitian connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion and holonomy contained in $SU(3)$ are given. A formula for the Riemannian scalar curvature is obtained. Non-compact solution to the supergravity-type I equations of motion with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton is found in dimension 6. Non-conformally flat non-compact solutions to the supergravity-type I equations of motion with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton are found in dimensions 7 and 8. A Riemannian metric with holonomy contained in G_2 arises from our considerations and Hitchin's flow equations, which seems to be new. Compact examples of $SU(3), G_2$ and $Spin(7)$ instanton satisfying the anomaly cancellation conditions are presented.

Key words: special geometry, torsion, parallel spinors, $SU(3), G_2, Spin(7)$-instanton, almost contact metric structures, sasaki structures.

MSC: 53C15, 53C26, 53C56, 53C80

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. General properties of $SU(3), G_2$ and $Spin(7)$-structures 4
2.1. SU(3)-structures in $d = 6$ 5
2.2. G_2-structures in $d = 7$. 5
2.3. $Spin(7)$-structures in $d = 8$. 6
3. The supersymmetry equations in dimensions 6, 7 and 8 7
4. Non-compact G_2-solution induced from a $SU(3)$-instanton 9
5. Non-compact $Spin(7)$-solution induced from a G_2-instanton 12
6. Examples 13
6.1. $(SU(3), G_2, Spin(7))$-instanton and conformally flat non-compact solution 13
6.2. $(SU(3), G_2, Spin(7))$-instanton and non-conformally flat non-compact solution 15
7. Almost contact metric structures and non-compact $SU(3)$-solutions in dimension 6 18
7.1. Non-conformally flat local $SU(3)$-solutions on $S^5 \times S^1$. 19
References 20

1. Introduction

Supersymmetric backgrounds of string/M theory with non-vanishing fluxes are currently an active area of study for at least two reasons. Firstly they provide a frame work of searching for new models with realistic phenomenology and secondly, they appear in generalizations of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

The supersymmetric geometries of the common NS-NS sector of type IIA, IIB and heterotic/type I supergravity are analyzed in [42]. The bosonic geometry is of the form $\mathbb{R}^{1,9-p} \times M_p$ where the Riemannian metric g, the dilaton function ϕ and the three form H are non-trivial only

---

Date: 1st February 2008.

on M_p but all R-R fields and fermions are set to zero in type II theories. The type I/heterotic geometries, which is the main object of interest in the present note, allow in addition non-trivial gauge field A with field strength F^A.

We recall the basic notations [73, 54, 52, 41, 42].

We search for a solutions to lowest nontrivial order in α' of the equations of motion that follow from the bosonic action

$$S=\frac{1}{2k^2}\int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}(Scal^g+4(\nabla^g\phi)^2-\frac{1}{12}H^2-\alpha' Tr(F^A)^2)$$

which also preserves at least one supersymmetry.

The three from H satisfies a modified Bianchi identity

$$dH=2\alpha' Tr(F^A\wedge F^A). \tag{1.1}$$

The so called Bianchi identity reads

$$dH=2\alpha'(Tr(F^A\wedge F^A)-Tr(R^{\tilde\nabla}\wedge R^{\tilde\nabla})), \tag{1.2}$$

where $R^{\tilde\nabla}$ is the curvature of the metric connection $\tilde\nabla$ with torsion $T^{\tilde\nabla}=-H$ related to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g by $\tilde\nabla=\nabla^g-\frac{1}{2}H$. The second term on the right hand side of (1.2) is the leading string correction to the supergravity expression arising from the anomaly cancellation but for the consistency of the theory, a modification to the action should be included [10] (see also [22],[45]).

In terms of characteristic classes (1.2) means that dH is proportional to the difference of the first Pontrjagin 4-forms $(p_1(A)-p_1(\tilde\nabla))$ of the connections $A,\tilde\nabla$, respectively.

A heterotic/type I geometry will preserve supersymmetry if and only if, in 10 dimensions, there exists at least one Majorana-Weyl spinor ϵ such that the supersymmetry variations of the fermionic fields vanish, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda&=\nabla_m\epsilon=\left(\nabla^g_m+\frac{1}{8}H_{mnp}\Gamma^{np}\right)\epsilon=0\\ \delta_\Psi&=\left(\Gamma^m\partial_m\phi+\frac{1}{12}H_{mnp}\Gamma^{mnp}\right)\epsilon=0\\ \delta_\xi&=F^A_{mn}\Gamma^{mn}\epsilon=0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

where λ,Ψ,ξ are the gravitino, the dilatino and the gaugino, fields, respectively.

The equations of motion corresponding to the action S are presented explicitly in [42]. It is known [25, 41] that the equation of motions of type I supergravity are automatically satisfied if one imposes, in addition to the preserving supersymmetry equations (1.3), the modified Bianchi identity (1.1).

According to no-go (vanishing) theorems (a consequence of the equations of motion [31, 25]; a consequence of the supersymmetry [58] for SU(n)-case and [42] for the general case) there are no compact solutions with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton satisfying the supersymmetry equations (1.3) and the modified Bianchi identity (1.1) simultaneously.

In dimensions 7 and 8 the only known heterotic/type I solutions to the equations of motion preserving at least one supersymmetry, i.e. satisfying (1.3) and (1.1), are those constructed in [27, 37, 54] in dimension 8 and those presented in [52] in dimension 7. All these solutions are conformal to a flat space. In dimension 6, the possibility of the existence of a non-conformally flat solution on the complex Iwasawa manifold was discussed in [73, 21, 42, 63].

In the present note we concentrate our attention to find non-compact solutions to the supergravity equations (1.3) including the modified Bianchi identity (1.1) as well as the anomaly cancellation condition (1.2). In dimensions 7 and 8 we find non-locally-conformally flat non-compact solutions to the gravitino, gaugino and dilatino equations with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton which obey the Bianchi identities (1.1) and (1.2) and therefore satisfy the equations of motion, due to the result in [41]. In dimension 6, we present a (non-conformally-flat) non-compact solution to the equations of motion showing that it obeys (1.3),(1.1),(1.2). All these non-compact solutions seem to be new.

We present a non conformally flat (resp. conformally flat) $SU(3), G_2$ and $Spin(7)$-instantons which satisfy anomaly cancellation condition (1.2) as well as the modified Bianchi identity (1.1).

We obtain compact 6,7 and 8-manifolds which solve the gravitino and gaugino equations and satisfy the compatibility conditions (1.2) and (1.1) but do not solve the dilatino equation which is consistent with the no-go theorems.

Geometrically, the vanishing of the gravitino variation is equivalent to the existence of a non-trivial spinor parallel with respect to a metric connection ∇ with totally skew symmetric torsion $T = H$ which is related to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g by

$$\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}H.$$

The presence of ∇-parallel spinor leads to restriction of the holonomy group $Hol(\nabla)$ of the torsion connection ∇. Namely, $Hol(\nabla)$ has to be contained in $SU(3), d = 6$ [73, 59, 58, 51, 21, 6, 7], the exceptional group $G_2, d = 7$ [32, 40, 34], the Lie group $Spin(7), d = 8$ [40, 57]. A detailed analysis of the possible geometries is carried out in [42]. Complex Non-Kähler geometries appear in string compactifications and are studied intensively [73, 46, 42, 40, 41, 46, 45, 6, 7]. Some types of non-complex 6-manifold have been also invented recently in the string theory due to the mirror symmetry and T-duality [63, 50, 49, 11, 21, 22].

Another special dimension is turn out to be dimension 5. The existence of ∇-parallel spinor in dimension 5 determines an almost contact metric structure whose properties as well as solutions to gravitino and dilatino equations are investigated in [32, 33]. We use these consideration in our construction in Section 7 of new $SU(3)$-instanton and non-compact solution to the equations of motion in dimension 6.

Almost Hermitian manifolds with totally skew-symmetric Nijenhuis tensor arise as target spaces of a class of (2,0)-supersymmetric two-dimensional sigma models [69]. For the consistency of the theory, the Nijenhuis tensor has to be parallel with respect to the torsion connection with holonomy contained in $SU(n)$. The known models are those on group manifolds. We present a 6-dimensional nil-manifold as an example which is not a group manifold.

Starting with a $SU(3)$-structure in dimension 6 we analyze the five classes discovered recently by Chiossi and Salamon [23] from the point of the existence of a $SU(3)$-connection having totally skew-symmetric torsion. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a connection solving the gravitino equation in dimension 6, i.e. the existence of a linear connection preserving the almost hermitian structure with torsion 3-form and holonomy contained in $SU(3)$, in terms of the given $SU(3)$-structure and present a formula for the Riemannian scalar curvature (Theorem 4.1). It turns out that the corresponding almost complex structure may not be integrable. In case that the almost complex structure is integrable, we derive that the $SU(3)$-structure is holomorphic if and only if the corresponding hermitian structure is balanced, i.e. has co-closed fundamental form (Corollary 4.3). On the other hand, any $SU(3)$-weak holonomy manifold (Nearly Kähler manifold) automatically solves both the gravitino and gaugino equations. It turns out that the Nearly Kähler 6-sphere S^6 satisfies in addition the compatibility conditions (1.2), (1.1). We present a six dimensional non-conformally flat nil-manifold $Nil^6 = G/\Gamma$, i.e. a compact quotient of a nilpotent Lie group G with a discrete subgroup Γ, which solves both the gravitino and gaugino equations and satisfies the compatibility conditions

(1.2), (1.1) but it is neither complex nor Nearly Kähler. Consequently, it does not solve the dilatino equation [73]. However, the $SU(3)$-structure on G is half-flat and therefore it determines a Riemannian metric with holonomy contained in G_2 on $G \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^7$ according to the procedure discovered by Hitchin [55], which seems to be a new one.

We propose a simple way to lift a 6-dimensional solution to the gravitino and gaugino equations, i.e. a $SU(3)$-instanton solving the gravitino equation and satisfying the conditions (1.1) (resp. (1.2)), to a G_2-instanton on the product with the real line which solves the three supersymmetry equations (1.3) as well as the compatibility condition (1.1) (resp. (1.2)). We show that $Nil^6 \times \mathbb{R}$, (resp. $S^6 \times \mathbb{R}$) is a non-compact solution to the equations of motion in dimension 7 with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton which preserves at least one supersymmetry and is not locally conformally flat (resp. locally conformally flat). Consequently, the compact spaces $Nil^6 \times S^1, \quad S^6 \times S^1$ admit a G_2-instanton structure satisfying all the equations (1.3), (1.2), (1.1) except the dilatino equation.

It turns out that any G_2-weak holonomy manifold (Nearly-parallel manifold) automatically solves both the gravitino and gaugino equations. We show that the Nearly parallel 7-sphere satisfies in addition the compatibility conditions (1.2), (1.1).

The same lifting procedure is applicable to the $Spin(7)$ case. Namely, any G_2-instanton solving the gravitino equation and satisfying the conditions (1.1) (resp. (1.2)) can be lifted to a $Spin(7)$-instanton on the product with the real line which solves the three supersymmetry equations (1.3) as well as the compatibility condition (1.1) (resp. (1.2)). We show that $Nil^6 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, (resp. $S^6 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad S^7 \times \mathbb{R}$) is a non-compact solution to the equations of motion in dimension 8 with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton which preserves at least one supersymmetry and is not locally conformally flat (resp. locally conformally flat). Consequently, the compact spaces $Nil^6 \times S^1 \times S^1, \quad S^6 \times S^1 \times S^1, \quad S^7 \times S^1$ admit a $Spin(7)$-instanton structure satisfying all the equations (1.3), (1.2), (1.1) except the dilatino equation.

Starting with a Tanno deformed Einstein Sasaki structure in dimension 5, we lift it to a $SU(3)$-instanton on the product with the real line which satisfies all the supersymmetry equations (1.3) in dimension 6. In this way we obtain local solutions to the equations of motion in dimension 6. Consider S^5 as a Sasakian space form, i.e a Tanno deformation of the standard Einstein-Sasaki structure, we show that $S^5 \times \mathbb{R}$ is a non-compact solution to the equations of motion in dimension 6 with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton which preserves at least one supersymmetry. Consequently, the compact space $S^5 \times S^1$ admits a $SU(3)$-instanton structure satisfying all the equations (1.3), (1.2), (1.1).

Acknowledgements. The research was done during the visit of S.I. at the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. S.I. thanks the Abdus Salam ICTP for providing support and an excellent research environment. S.I. is a member of the EDGE, Research Training Network HPRN-CT-2000-00101, supported by the European Human Potential Programme. The research is partially supported by Contract MM 809/1998 with the Ministry of Science and Education of Bulgaria, Contract 586/2002 with the University of Sofia "St. Kl. Ohridski". We thank to Tony Pantev for his interest to this work, for the useful suggestions and stimulating discussions. We are also grateful to Jerome Gauntlett for his helpful comments and remarks. We would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions on clarifying the phenomenological background especially the form of the Bianchi identity including string corrections.

2. General properties of $SU(3), G_2$ and $Spin(7)$-structures

In this section we recall necessary properties of $SU(3), G_2$ and $Spin(7)$ structures.

2.1. **SU(3)-structures in $d = 6$.** Let (M^6, g, J) be an almost Hermitian 6-manifold with Riemannian metric g and an almost complex structure J, i.e. (g, J) define an $U(3)$-structure. The Nijenhuis tensor N, the Kähler form F and the Lee form θ^6 are defined by

$$N = [J., J.] - [., .] - J[J., .] - [., J.], \quad F = g(., J.), \quad \theta^6(.) = \delta F(J.), \tag{2.4}$$

respectively.

A $SU(3)$-structure is determined by an additional non-degenerate (3,0)-form $\Psi = \Psi^+ + \sqrt{-1}\Psi^-$, or equivalently by a non-trivial spinor. To be more explicit, we may choose a local orthonormal frame $e_1, \dots, e_6$, identifying it with the dual basis via the metric. Write $e_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_p}$ for the monomial $e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}$. A $SU(3)$-structure is described locally by

$$\begin{gathered} \Psi = -(e_1 + \sqrt{-1}e_2) \wedge (e_3 + \sqrt{-1}e_4) \wedge (e_5 + \sqrt{-1}e_6), \quad F = -e_{12} - e_{34} - e_{56}, \\ \Psi^+ = -e_{135} + e_{236} + e_{146} + e_{245}, \quad \Psi^- = -e_{136} - e_{145} - e_{235} + e_{246}. \end{gathered} \tag{2.5}$$

The subgroup of $SO(6)$ fixing the forms F and Ψ simultaneously is $SU(3)$. The two forms F and Ψ determine the metric completely. The Lie algebra of $SU(3)$ is denoted $su(3)$.

The failure of the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection to reduce to $SU(3)$ can be measured by the intrinsic torsion τ, which is identified with $\nabla^g F$ or $\nabla^g J$ and can be decomposed into five classes [23], $\tau \in W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_5$. The intrinsic torsion of an $U(n)$- structure belongs to the first four components described by Gray-Hervella [48]. The five components of a $SU(3)$-structure are first described by Chiossi-Salamon [23] (for interpretation in physics see [21, 50, 49]) and are determined by $dF, d\Psi^+, d\Psi^-$ as well as by dF and N. We describe those of them which we will use later.

- **$\tau \in W_1$:** The class of Nearly Kähler (weak holonomy) manifold defined by dF to be (3,0)+(0,3)-form.
- **$\tau \in W_2$:** The class of almost Kähler manifolds, $dF = 0$.
- **$\tau \in W_3$:** The class of balanced hermitian manifold determined by the conditions $N = \theta^6 = 0$, i.e these are complex manifolds with vanishing Lee form. These spaces are investigated in [39, 68, 2].
- **$\tau \in W_4$:** The class of locally conformally Kähler spaces characterized by $dF = \theta^6 \wedge F$.
- **$\tau \in W_1 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_4$:** The class called by Gray-Hervella G_1-manifolds determined by the condition that the Nijenhuis tensor is totally skew-symmetric. This is the precise class which we are interested in.

The class of a half-flat SU(3)-manifold [23] may be characterized by the conditions $d\Psi^+ = 0, \quad \theta^6 = 0$. The half-flat structures can be lifted to a G_2-holonomy metric on the product with the real line and vice versa due to the Hitchin theorem [55]. In fact, many new G_2-holonomy metrics are obtained in this way [43, 14].

We recall [23] that the fifth component W_5 and the two scalar components of W_1 are determined by the expressions $*d\Psi^+ \wedge \Psi^+, \quad d\Psi^+ \wedge F = W_1^+ vol., \quad d\Psi^- \wedge F = W_1^- vol.$, respectively.

If all five components are zero then we have a Ricci-flat Kähler (Calabi-Yau) 3-fold.

2.2. **G_2-structures in $d = 7$.** Endow $\mathbb{R}^7$ with its standard orientation and inner product. Let $e_1, \dots, e_7$ be an oriented orthonormal basis. Consider the three-form ω on $\mathbb{R}^7$ given by

$$\omega = e_{127} - e_{236} + e_{347} + e_{567} - e_{146} - e_{245} + e_{135}. \tag{2.6}$$

The subgroup of $GL(7)$ fixing ω is the exceptional Lie group G_2. It is a compact, connected, simply-connected, simple Lie subgroup of $SO(7)$ of dimension 14 [16]. The Lie algebra is denoted by g_2 and it is isomorphic to the two forms satisfying 7 linear equations, namely $g_2 \cong \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2(M) | *(\alpha \wedge \omega) = -\alpha\}$ The 3-form ω corresponds to a real spinor ϵ and therefore, G_2 can be identified as the isotropy group of a non-trivial real spinor.

The Hodge star operator supplies the 4-form $*\omega$ given by

$$*\omega = e_{3456} + e_{1457} + e_{1256} + e_{1234} + e_{2357} + e_{1367} - e_{2467}. \tag{2.7}$$

A 7-dimensional Riemannian manifold is called a G_2-manifold if its structure group reduces to the exceptional Lie group G_2. The existence of a G_2-structure is equivalent to the existence of a global non-degenerate three-form which can be locally written as (2.6). The 3-from ω is called *the fundamental form* of the G_2-manifold [15]. From the purely topological point of view, a 7-dimensional paracompact manifold is a G_2-manifold if and only if it is an oriented spin manifold [66]. We will say that the pair (M, ω) is a *G_2-manifold* with *G_2-structure* (determined by) ω.

The fundamental form of a G_2-manifold determines a Riemannian metric *implicitly* through $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{kl}\omega_{ikl}\omega_{jkl}$ [47]. This is referred to as the metric induced by ω. We write ∇^g for the associated Levi-Civita connection.

In [29], Fernandez and Gray divide G_2-manifolds into 16 classes according to how the covariant derivative of the fundamental three-form behaves with respect to its decomposition into G_2 irreducible components (see also [23, 40]). If the fundamental form is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, $\nabla^g\omega = 0$, then the Riemannian holonomy group is contained in G_2. In this case the induced metric on the G_2-manifold is Ricci-flat, a fact first observed by Bonan [15]. It was shown by Gray [47] (see also [16, 71]) that a G_2-manifold is parallel precisely when the fundamental form is harmonic, i.e. $d\omega = d*\omega = 0$. The first examples of complete parallel G_2-manifolds were constructed by Bryant and Salamon [17, 44]. Compact examples of parallel G_2-manifolds were obtained first by Joyce [60, 61, 62] and recently by Kovalev [65].

The Lee form θ^7 is defined by [19]

$$\theta^7 = -\frac{1}{3}*(*d\omega \wedge \omega), \tag{2.8}$$

If the Lee form vanishes, $\theta^7 = 0$ then the G_2-structure is said to be *balanced.* If the Lee form is closed, $d\theta^7 = 0$ then the G_2-structure is locally conformally equivalent to a balanced one [34]. If the G_2-structure satisfies the condition $d*\omega = \theta^7 \wedge \omega$ then it is called *integrable* and an analog of the Dolbeault cohomology is investigated in [30].

2.3. ***Spin*(7)-structures in $d = 8$.** Now, let us consider $\mathbb{R}^8$ endowed with an orientation and its standard inner product. Let $\{e_0, ..., e_7\}$ be an oriented orthonormal basis. Consider the 4-form Φ on $\mathbb{R}^8$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= e_{0127} - e_{0236} + e_{0347} + e_{0567} - e_{0146} - e_{0245} + e_{0135} \\ &+ e_{3456} + e_{1457} + e_{1256} + e_{1234} + e_{2357} + e_{1367} - e_{2467}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The 4-form Φ is self-dual $*\Phi = \Phi$ and the 8-form $\Phi \wedge \Phi$ coincides with the volume form of $\mathbb{R}^8$. The subgroup of $GL(8, R)$ which fixes Φ is isomorphic to the double covering $Spin(7)$ of $SO(7)$ [53]. Moreover, $Spin(7)$ is a compact simply-connected Lie group of dimension 21 [16]. The Lie algebra of $Spin(7)$ is denoted by $spin(7)$ and it is isomorphic to the two forms satisfying 7 linear equations, namely $spin(7) \cong \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2(M) | *(\alpha \wedge \Phi) = -\alpha\}$.

The 4-form Φ corresponds to a real spinor ϕ and therefore, $Spin(7)$ can be identified as the isotropy group of a non-trivial real spinor.

A *Spin*(7)-*structure* on an 8-manifold M is by definition a reduction of the structure group of the tangent bundle to $Spin(7)$; we shall also say that M is a *Spin*(7) *manifold.* This can be described geometrically by saying that there exists a nowhere vanishing global differential 4-form Φ on M which can be locally written as (2.9). The 4-form Φ is called the *fundamental form* of the $Spin(7)$ manifold M [15].

The fundamental form of a $Spin(7)$-manifold determines a Riemannian metric *implicitly* through $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{24}\sum_{klm}\Phi_{iklm}\Phi_{jklm}$ [47]. This is referred to as the metric induced by Φ.

In general, not every 8-dimensional Riemannian spin manifold M^8 admits a $Spin(7)$-structure. We explain the precise condition [66]. Denote by $p_1(M), p_2(M), \mathbb{X}(M), \mathbb{X}(S_\pm)$ the first and the second Pontrjagin classes, the Euler characteristic of M and the Euler characteristic of the positive and the negative spinor bundles, respectively. It is well known [66] that a spin 8-manifold admits a $Spin(7)$ structure if and only if $\mathbb{X}(S_+) = 0$ or $\mathbb{X}(S_-) = 0$. The latter conditions are equivalent to $p_1^2(M) - 4p_2(M) + 8\mathbb{X}(M) = 0$, for an appropriate choice of the orientation [66].

Let us recall that a $Spin(7)$ manifold (M, g, Φ) is said to be parallel (torsion-free [61]) if the holonomy of the metric $Hol(g)$ is a subgroup of $Spin(7)$. This is equivalent to saying that the fundamental form Φ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g of the metric g. Moreover, $Hol(g) \subset Spin(7)$ if and only if $d\Phi = 0$ [16] (see also [71]) and any parallel $Spin(7)$ manifold is Ricci flat [15]. The first known explicit example of complete parallel $Spin(7)$ manifold with $Hol(g) = Spin(7)$ was constructed by Bryant and Salamon [17, 44]. The first compact examples of parallel $Spin(7)$ manifolds with $Hol(g) = Spin(7)$ were constructed by Joyce[60, 61].

There are 4-classes of $Spin(7)$ manifolds according to the Fernandez classification [28] obtained as irreducible representations of $Spin(7)$ of the space $\nabla^g\Phi$.

The Lee form θ^8 is defined by [18]

$$\theta^8 = -\frac{1}{7} * (*d\Phi \wedge \Phi) = *(\delta\Phi \wedge \Phi). \tag{2.10}$$

The 4 classes of Fernandez classification can be described in terms of the Lee form as follows [18]: $W_0 : d\Phi = 0$; $\quad W_1 : \theta^8 = 0$; $\quad W_2 : d\Phi = \theta^8 \wedge \Phi$; $\quad W : W = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

A $Spin(7)$-structure of the class W_1 (ie $Spin(7)$-structure with zero Lee form) is called *a balanced $Spin(7)$-structure*. If the Lee form is closed, $d\theta^8 = 0$ then the $Spin(7)$-structure is locally conformally equivalent to a balanced one [57]. It is shown in [18] that the Lee form of a $Spin(7)$ structure in the class W_2 is closed and therefore such a manifold is locally conformally equivalent to a parallel $Spin(7)$ manifold. The compact spaces with closed but not exact Lee form (i.e. the structure is not globally conformally parallel) have very different topology than the parallel ones [57].

Coeffective cohomology and coeffective numbers of Riemannian manifolds with $Spin(7)$-structure are studied in [74].

3. The supersymmetry equations in dimensions 6, 7 and 8

Dimension d=6. Necessary conditions to have a solution to the system of dilatino and gravitino equations in dimension 6 were derived by Strominger in [73] and then studied by many authors [40, 41, 42, 21, 22, 6, 7, 8, 45]

Necessary conditions to solve the gravitino equation are given in [32]. The presence of a parallel spinor in dimension 6 leads firstly to the reduction to $U(3)$, ie the existence of an almost hermitian structure, secondly to the existence of a linear connection preserving the almost hermitian structure with torsion 3-form and thirdly to the reduction of the holonomy group of the torsion connection to SU(3). It is shown in [32] that there exists a unique linear connection preserving an almost hermitian structure having totally skew-symmetric torsion if and only if the Nijenhuis tensor is a 3-form, i.e. the intrinsic torsion $\tau \in W_1 \oplus W_3 \oplus W_4$. The torsion connection ∇ is determined by

$$\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}T, \qquad T = JdF + N = -dF(J., J., J.) + N = -dF^+(J., J., J.) + \frac{1}{4}N, \tag{3.11}$$

where dF^+ denotes the (1,2)+(2,1)-part of dF. The (3,0)+(0,3)-part dF^- is determined completely by the Nijenhuis tensor [38]. If N is a three form then (see e.g.[32])

$$dF^- = -\frac{3}{4}JN. \tag{3.12}$$

In addition, the dilatino equation forces the almost complex structure to be integrable and the Lee form to be closed (for applications in physics the Lee form has to be exact) determined by the dilaton due to $\theta^6 = 2d\phi$ [73].

When the almost complex structure is integrable, $N = 0$, the torsion connection is also known as the Bismut connection and was used by Bismut to prove local index theorem for the Dolbeault operator on Hermitian non-Kähler manifold [12]. This formula was recently applied in string theory [7]. Vanishing theorems for the Dolbeault cohomology on compact Hermitian non-Kähler manifold were found in terms of the Bismut connection [4, 58, 59].

Dimension d=7. The precise conditions to have a solution to the gravitino equation in dimension 7 are found in [32]. Namely, there exists a non-trivial parallel spinor with respect to a G_2-connection with torsion 3-form T if and only if there exists a G_2-structure (ω, g) satisfying the equations

$$d{*\omega} = \theta^7 \wedge {*\omega}. \tag{3.13}$$

In this case the torsion connection ∇ is unique, the torsion 3-form T is given by

$$\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}T, \qquad H = T = \frac{1}{6}(d\omega, {*\omega})\omega - {*d\omega} + {*(\theta^7 \wedge \omega)} \tag{3.14}$$

and the Riemannian scalar curvature has the following expression [34]

$$s^g = \frac{1}{18}(d\omega, {*\omega}) + ||\theta^7||^2 - \frac{1}{12}||T||^2 + 3\delta\theta^7. \tag{3.15}$$

The necessary conditions to have a solution to the system of dilatino and gravitino equations were derived in [40, 32, 34] and sufficiency was proved in [32, 34]. The general existence result [32, 34] states that there exists a (local) non-trivial solution to both dilatino and gravitino equations in dimension 7 if and only if there there exists a G_2-structure (ω, g) satisfying the equations

$$d{*\omega} = \theta^7 \wedge {*\omega}, \quad d\omega \wedge \omega = 0, \quad \theta^7 = 2d\phi. \tag{3.16}$$

The torsion 3-form (the flux H) is given by

$$\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}T, \qquad H = T = -{*d\omega} + 2{*(d\phi \wedge \omega)}. \tag{3.17}$$

The Riemannian scalar curvature satisfies $s^g = 8||d\phi||^2 - \frac{1}{12}||T||^2 + 6\delta d\phi$.

Dimension d=8. It is shown in [57] that the gravitino equation always have a solution in dimension 8. Namely, any $Spin(7)$-structure admits a unique $Spin(7)$-connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion

$$T = {*d\Phi} - {*(\theta^8 \wedge \Phi)}.$$

The necessary conditions to have a solution to the system of dilatino and gravitino equations were derived in [40, 57] and sufficiency was proved in [57]. The general existence result [57] states that there exists a (local) non-trivial solution to both dilatino and gravitino equations in dimension 8 if and only if there there exists a $Spin(7)$-structure (Φ, g) with closed Lee form,

$d\theta^8 = 0$, which is equivalent to the statement that the $Spin(7)$-structure is locally conformally balanced. The torsion 3-form (the flux H) and the Lee form are given by

$$\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}T, \qquad H = T = *d\Phi - 2*(d\phi \wedge \Phi), \quad \theta^8 = \frac{12}{7}d\phi. \tag{3.18}$$

The Riemannian scalar curvature satisfies $s^g = 8||d\phi||^2 - \frac{1}{12}||T||^2 + 6\delta d\phi$.

In addition to these equations, the vanishing of the gaugino variation requires the 2-form F^A to be of instanton type: ([24, 73, 54, 70, 26, 42])

Case d=6 A Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau $SU(3)$-instanton i.e the gauge field A is a $SU(3)$-connection with curvature 2-form $F^A \in su(3)$. The SU(3)-instanton condition can be written in local holomorphic coordinates in the form [24, 73]

$$F^A_{\alpha\beta} = F^A_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta} = 0, F^A_{\alpha\bar\beta}F^{\alpha\bar\beta} = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Case d=7 A G_2-instanton i.e. the gauge field A is a G_2-connection and its curvature 2-form $F^A \in g_2$. The latter can be expressed in any of the following two equivalent ways

$$F^A_{mn}\omega^{mn}{}_p = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow F^A_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}F^A_{pq}(*\omega)^{pq}{}_{mn}; \tag{3.20}$$

Case d=8 A $Spin(7)$-instanton i.e. the gauge field A is a $Spin(7)$-connection and its curvature 2-form $F^A \in spin(7)$. The latter is equivalent to

$$F^A_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}F^A_{pq}\Phi^{pq}{}_{mn}. \tag{3.21}$$

4. NON-COMPACT G_2-SOLUTION INDUCED FROM A $SU(3)$-INSTANTON

In this section we show how to construct local solution to the equations of motion in dimension 7 if we have a solution to the gravitino and gaugino equations satisfying the modified Bianchi identity (1.1) in dimension 6.

We first investigate necessary and sufficient condition to have a solution to the gravitino equation in dimension 6, i.e. to have a ∇-parallel spinors. We prove the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M^6, g, J, Ψ) be a 6-dimensional smooth manifold with a $SU(3)$-structure (g, J, Ψ) or equivalently, the almost hermitian manifold (M^6, g, J) has topologically trivial canonical bundle trivialized by a (3,0)-form Ψ. The next two conditions are equivalent*

- a) *There exists a unique $SU(3)$-connection with torsion 3-form, i.e. a linear connection with torsion 3-form which preserves the almost hermitian structure whose holonomy is contained in SU(3).*
- b) *The Nijenhuis tensor N is totally-skew symmetric and the following conditions hold*

$$d\Psi^+ = \theta^6 \wedge \Psi^+ - \frac{1}{4}(N, \Psi^+)*F, \quad d\Psi^- = \theta^6 \wedge \Psi^- - \frac{1}{4}(N, \Psi^-)*F. \tag{4.22}$$

The torsion is given by

$$T = -*dF + *(\theta^6 \wedge F) + \frac{1}{4}(N, \Psi^+)\Psi^+ + \frac{1}{4}(N, \Psi^-)\Psi^-. \tag{4.23}$$

The Riemannian scalar curvature is expressed in the following way

$$s^g = \frac{1}{8}(N, \Psi^+)^2 + \frac{1}{8}(N, \Psi^-)^2 + 2||\theta^6||^2 - \frac{1}{12}||T||^2 + 3\delta\theta^6. \tag{4.24}$$

In particular, if the structure is complex and balanced then the Riemannian scalar curvature is non-positive.

Proof. Suppose the condition a) holds. Then the Nijenhuis tensor N is a three form due to Theorem 10.1 in [32]. The conditions $\nabla\Psi = \nabla\Psi^+ = \nabla\Psi^- = 0$ imply the constraints (4.22) on the exterior derivative of the form Ψ. This can be checked directly using (3.11) and (3.12).

To prove the converse we consider the product $M^7 = M^6 \times \mathbb{R}$ with the G_2-structure ω defined by [55, 23]

$$\omega = -F \wedge e_7 - \Psi^+, \tag{4.25}$$

where e_7 is the standard 1-form on $\mathbb{R}$.

We adopt the convention to indicate the object on the product by a superscript 7, i.e. $*^7, T^7, \theta^7, \nabla^7, R^{\nabla^7}$ are the Hodge star operator, the torsion 3-form, the Lee form, the torsion connection and its curvature, respectively. The same objects on M^6 have superscript 6.

Our idea is to check that the G_2-structure on the product $M^7 = M^6 \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions (3.13) and to apply the Friedrich-Ivanov result from [32] assuring the existence of G_2-connection ∇^7 with torsion 3-form T^7. We show that the torsion satisfies the condition $T(e_7, ., .) = 0$ and therefore $\nabla^7 e_7 = 0$. Hence, the connection ∇^7 descends on M^6 to a connection ∇^6 which preserves the $SU(3)$-structure and has totally skew-symmetric torsion.

We get from (4.25) applying (3.12) and (4.22) the following sequence of equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^7 = -\frac{1}{3}*^7(*^7 d\omega \wedge \omega) = \frac{1}{3}\left(-*^6(*^6 dF \wedge F) + *^6(*^6 dF \wedge \Psi^+)e_7 - *^6(*^6 d\Psi^+ \wedge \Psi^+)\right) = \\ = \theta^6 + \frac{1}{4}(N, \Psi^-)e_7,\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where we used the identities

$$\begin{aligned}&*^6(*^6 d\Psi^+ \wedge \Psi^+) = *^6(*^6(\theta \wedge \Psi^+) \wedge \Psi^+) = -2\theta, \\ &*^7 d\omega = *^6 dF - *^6 d\Psi^+ \wedge e_7, \quad \theta = -*^6 dF \wedge dF, \\ &*^6(*^6 dF \wedge \Psi^+) = -(\Psi^+, dF) = -\frac{3}{4}(\Psi^-, N).\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Applying the equalities $d*^6 F = -*^6 J\theta^6 = \theta^6 \wedge *^6 F$ and the conditions (4.22) we obtain (3.13). Hence, there exists a G_2-connection ∇^7 with torsion 3-form T^7 given by (3.14) on the product $M^7 = M^6 \times \mathbb{R}$. To compute T^7 we use (3.12) and (4.26). We have

$$\begin{aligned}&(d\omega, *^7\omega) = *^7(d\omega \wedge \omega) = 2*^7(dF \wedge \Psi^+) = -\frac{3}{2}(N, \Psi^+), \\ &*^7(\theta^7 \wedge \omega) = *^6(\theta^6 \wedge F) - *^6(\theta^6 \wedge \Psi^+)e_7 + \frac{1}{4}(N, \Psi^-)\Psi^-,\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Now, the formula (3.14) and (4.22) give the desired expression (4.23) which implies that the torsion T^7 does not depend on e_7 and therefore the connection ∇^7 descends to M^6.

Substituting (4.28) and (4.26) into (3.15) we get (4.24) for the Riemannian scalar curvature on the product which clearly coincides with the scalar curvature on (M^6, g^6). □

Corollary 4.2. *In dimension 6 the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *a) There exists a non-trivial solution to the system of gravitino and dilatino equations with non-zero flux H and non-constant dilaton ϕ;*
- *b) There exists a $SU(3)$-structure (F, Ψ) satisfying the conditions*

$$d\Psi^+ = 2d\phi \wedge \Psi^+, \quad d\Psi^- = 2d\phi \wedge \Psi^-.$$

The flux H is given by

$$H = T = -*dF + 2*(d\phi \wedge F). \tag{4.29}$$

The Riemannian scalar curvature of the solution has the expression

$$s^g = 8||d\phi||^2 - \frac{1}{12}||T||^2 + 6\delta d\phi. \tag{4.30}$$

A part of the necessary conditions we presented are known [73, 42, 40, 41, 21]. The formula (4.29) was discovered in [40], the first formula in (4.27) has already appeared in [21].

Corollary 4.3. *A closed $SU(3)$-structure ($d\Psi^+ = d\Psi^- = 0$) admits a $SU(3)$-connection with torsion 3-form if and only if the corresponding almost Hermitian structure is a balanced Hermitian structure, ($N = \theta^6 = 0$).*

In particular, a holomorphic $SU(3)$-structure on a complex manifold supports a linear connection with torsion 3-form and holonomy contained in $SU(3)$ if and only if it is balanced. In the latter case the Riemannian scalar curvature is non-positive.

Consequently, such a structure is half-flat and therefore it determines a Riemannian metric with holonomy contained in G_2 on the product with the real line .

Remark 4.4. We note the coincidence of the formulas for the Riemannian scalar curvature of solutions to the gravitino and dilatino equations in dimensions 6,7, and 8. Actually, it is proved in [59] (see also [45]) that the SU(n)-geometry arising from any solution to the gravitino and dilatino equations satisfies the identity

$$Ric^g(X,Y) - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j} H(X,e_i,e_j)H(Y,e_i,e_j) + 2\nabla^g{}_X\nabla^g{}_Y\phi + \frac{1}{4}\sum_i dH(X,JY,e_i,Je_i) = 0 \tag{4.31}$$

which is consistent with the first equation of motion. The trace in (4.31) gives (4.30) due to the identity

$$\frac{1}{4}\sum_i dH(X,JY,e_i,Je_i) = 8||d\phi||^2 + 4\delta d\phi - \frac{1}{3}||H||^2$$

shown in [4] (see also (3.24) in [59]).

Remark 4.5. We note that the Riemannian scalar curvature for a half-flat $SU(3)$-structure is computed in [50]. On the other hand, not every half-flat structure admits $SU(3)$-connection with torsion 3-form since it may have nonzero W_2 component. For example, the $SU(3)$-structure on the nilpotent Lie algebras described in [23], Example 2 and 3, are half-flat but do not admit $SU(3)$ connection with torsion 3-form since $d\Psi^- \neq fF \wedge F$.

Another consequence of Theorem 4.1 is the following

Theorem 4.6. *Let (M^6, g, J, F, Ψ) be a smooth almost complex 6-manifold with totally skew-symmetric Nijenhuis tensor equipped with a $SU(3)$-structure Ψ solving the gravitino equation, i.e. the conditions* (4.22) *hold. Assume also the conditions*

$$d\theta^6 = 0, \quad (N, \Psi^+) = 0, \quad (N, \Psi^-) = const. \neq 0. \tag{4.32}$$

- *i) Then the G_2-structure $\omega = -F \wedge e_7 - \Psi +$ defined on the product $M^7 = M^6 \times \mathbb{R}$ solves both the gravitino and dilatino equations with non-constant dilaton.*
- *ii) If in addition the torsion connection ∇^6 is a $SU(3)$-instanton then the corresponding torsion connection ∇^7 is a G_2-instanton.*
- *iii) Suppose moreover that the torsion connection ∇^6 satisfies the modified Bianchi identity* (1.1), *(resp.* (1.2)*) with $F^A = R^{\nabla^6}$. Then ∇^7 also obeys* (1.1), *(resp.* (1.2)*) with $F^A = R^{\nabla^7}$ and therefore solves the equations of motion with non zero flux and non-constant dilaton provided θ^6 is exact.*

Proof. Equations (4.26) and (4.28) imply (3.16) due to the conditions of the theorem. We know from Theorem 4.1 that $T^7 = T^6$, $R^{\nabla^7} = R^{\nabla^6}$, $R^{\tilde\nabla^7} = R^{\tilde\nabla^6}$ and consequently, $Tr(R^{\nabla^7} \wedge R^{\nabla^7}) = Tr(R^{\nabla^6} \wedge R^{\nabla^6})$, $Tr(R^{\tilde\nabla^7} \wedge R^{\tilde\nabla^7}) = Tr(R^{\tilde\nabla^6} \wedge R^{\tilde\nabla^6})$. A glance at the structure of Lie algebras $su(3)$ and g_2 implies that R^{∇^7} satisfies the G_2- instanton equations (3.20) provided R^{∇^6} obeys the $SU(3)$-instanton equations (3.19) □

5. NON-COMPACT $Spin(7)$-SOLUTION INDUCED FROM A G_2-INSTANTON

In this section we shall show that a G_2-instanton on (N^7, ω) induces a $Spin(7)$-instanton on the product $N^8 = N^7 \times \mathbb{R}$.

We denote the Hodge star operator on N^7 by $*^7$. On the product $N^8 = N^7 \times \mathbb{R}$ there exists a $Spin(7)$-structure defined by

$$\Phi = e_0 \wedge \omega + *^7\omega, \tag{5.33}$$

where $e_0 = dt$ is the standard 1-form on $\mathbb{R}$. We indicate the object on the product by a superscript 8, i.e. $*^8, T^8, \theta^8, \nabla^8, R^{\nabla^8}$ are the Hodge star operator, the torsion 3-form, the Lee form, the torsion connection and its curvature, respectively. The same objects on N^7 have superscript 7.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose $(N^7, \omega^7, g^7, \nabla^7, T^7)$ is a smooth G_2-manifold which solves the gravitino equation, i.e.* (3.13) *holds. Then the $Spin(7)$-structure Φ on the product $N^7 \times \mathbb{R}$ determined with* (5.33) *has the properties*

$$\theta^8 = \frac{6}{7}\theta^7 + \frac{1}{7}(d\omega, *^7\omega)e_0, \quad T^8 = T^7, \quad R^{\nabla^8} = R^{\nabla^7}, \quad Tr(R^{\nabla^8} \wedge R^{\nabla^8}) = Tr(R^{\nabla^7} \wedge R^{\nabla^7}).$$

Assume in addition the conditions

$$d\theta^7 = 0, \qquad (d\omega, *^7\omega) = const. \neq 0.$$

- *i) Then the $Spin(7)$-structure Φ defined on the product $N^8 = N^7 \times \mathbb{R}$ solves both the gravitino and dilatino equations with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton.*
- *ii) If in addition the torsion connection ∇^7 is a G_2-instanton then the corresponding torsion connection ∇^8 is a $Spin(7)$-instanton.*
- *iii) Suppose moreover that the torsion connection ∇^7 satisfies the modified Bianchi identity* (1.1), *(resp.* (1.2)*) with $F^A = R^{\nabla^7}$. Then ∇^8 also obeys* (1.1), *(resp.* (1.2)*) with $F^A = R^{\nabla^8}$ and therefore solves the equations of motion with non-zero flux and non-constant dilaton provided θ^7 is exact.*

Proof. Take the exterior derivative in (5.33) and use (3.16) to get the identity $d\Phi = -e_0 \wedge d\omega + \theta^7 \wedge *^7\omega$. The latter yields

$$*^8 d\Phi = -*^7 d\omega - e_0 \wedge *^7(\theta^7 \wedge *^7\omega) \tag{5.34}$$

$$*^8(\theta^7 \wedge \Phi) = -*^7(\theta^7 \wedge \omega) - e_0 \wedge *^7(\theta^7 \wedge *^7\omega) \tag{5.35}$$

$$\theta^8 = -\frac{1}{7}*^8\left(*^8 d\Phi \wedge \Phi\right) = \tag{5.36}$$

$$-\frac{1}{7}\left[*^8\left(e_0 \wedge *^7 d\omega \wedge \omega\right) - e_0 \wedge *^7\left(\theta^7 \wedge *^7\omega\right) \wedge *^7\omega - *^8(*^7 d\omega \wedge *^7\omega)\right] =$$

$$-\frac{1}{7}\left[*^7\left(*^7 d\omega \wedge \omega\right) - *^7\left(*^7\left(\theta^7 \wedge *^7\omega\right) \wedge *^7\omega\right) - (d\omega, *^7\omega)e_0\right] = \frac{6}{7}\theta^7 + \frac{1}{7}(d\omega, *^7\omega)e_0,$$

where we used the conditions (3.16), (2.8) and the general identity $*^7\left(*^7\left(\gamma \wedge *^7\omega\right) \wedge *^7\omega\right) = 3\gamma$ valid for any 1-form γ on (M^7, ω).

Substitute (5.34), (5.35) and (5.36) into the formula (3.18) and compare the result with (3.17) to get $T^8 = T^7$.

The vector field e_0 is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g^8 and satisfies $T^8(e_0, ., .) = T^7(e_0, ., .) = 0$. Therefore $\nabla^8 e_0 = 0$ yielding $R^{\nabla^8} = R^{\nabla^7}, \quad R^{\tilde{\nabla}^8} = R^{\tilde{\nabla}^7}$. Consequently,

$$\sum_0^7 R^{\nabla^8}_{mnkl} {\Phi^{mn}}_{ij} = \sum_1^7 R^{\nabla^7}_{mnkl} {(*^7\omega)^{mn}}_{ij} = 2R^{\nabla^7}_{ijkl} = 2R^{\nabla^8}_{ijkl},$$

since R^{∇^7} is a G_2-instanton. Clearly, the modified Bianchi identity (1.1), (resp. (1.2)) is satisfied for $F^A = R^{\nabla^8}$. □

The reverse procedure to find types of $SU(3)$-structures on 6-manifold induced by different types of G_2-structures on 7-manifold is discussed recently in [8, 11, 50, 49].

6. EXAMPLES

Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 5.1 allow us to produce a number of examples of G_2 and $Spin(7)$-instantons and solutions to the equations of motion with gauge connection $A = \nabla$ starting from certain types of almost complex 6-manifold or certain types of G_2 manifolds.

We recall the well known curvature identity

$$R^{\nabla}(X, Y, Z, V) = R^{\tilde{\nabla}}(Z, V, X, Y) + \frac{1}{2} dT(X, Y, Z, V). \tag{6.37}$$

It helps us to handle the Bianchi identity (1.2) with $F^A = R^{\nabla}$ provided the next equality holds

$$R^{\nabla}(X, Y, Z, V) = R^{\nabla}(Z, V, X, Y). \tag{6.38}$$

Combine (6.37) and (6.38) to get

$$R^{\nabla} = R^{\tilde{\nabla}} + \frac{1}{2} dT. \tag{6.39}$$

In view of (6.39), the Bianchi identity (1.2) with $A = \nabla$ takes the form

$$dT = \alpha' \left(Tr(R^{\nabla} \wedge dT) - \frac{1}{2} Tr(dT \wedge dT) \right).$$

Clearly, the condition (6.38) is sufficient a $SU(3)$ (resp. $G_2, Spin(7)$) -connection to satisfy the $SU(3)$ (resp. $G_2, Spin(7)$) -instanton condition (3.19) (resp. (3.20),(3.21)). The symmetry (6.38) of the curvature of a metric connection ∇ with torsion 3-form T holds exactly when ∇T is a 4-form which is equivalent to the condition $\nabla^g T = \frac{1}{4} dT$ [56]. In particular, if the torsion is ∇-parallel, $\nabla T = 0$, then we have the additional relations

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\nabla^g}_{ijkl} &= R^{\nabla}_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2} T_{ijm} {T_{kl}}^m - \frac{1}{4} T_{jkm} {T_{il}}^m - \frac{1}{4} T_{kim} {T_{jl}}^m; \\ dT_{ijkl} &= 2 \left(T_{ijm} {T_{kl}}^m + T_{jkm} {T_{il}}^m + T_{kim} {T_{jl}}^m \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

6.1. **$(SU(3), G_2, Spin(7))$-instanton and conformally flat non-compact solution.** Any Nearly-Kähler 6-manifold is an $SU(3)$-instanton since the torsion $T = \frac{1}{4} N = J \nabla^g J$ is ∇-parallel [64], (see also [9, 32]) and therefore the curvature R^{∇} satisfies (6.38).

Take $\Psi^+ = dF$ we obtain a $SU(3)$-instanton solving the gravitino and gaugino equations according to Theorem 4.6 which, however, does not solve the dilatino equation since the almost complex structure is not integrable [73].

There are known only four compact Nearly Kähler 6-manifolds, namely S^6, $S^3 \times S^3$, $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and the flag $F = U(3)/(U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1))$ [55, 72].

We consider the six-sphere (S^6, J, g) endowed with the standard nearly Kähler structure (g, J) inherited from the imaginary octonions in $\mathbb{R}^7$ [47]. We claim that $(S^6, g, J, \nabla, A = \nabla)$ satisfies both the modified Bianchi identity (1.1) and the anomaly cancellation condition (1.2).

It is well known that any 6-dimensional Nearly Kähler manifold is Einstein and of constant type. Consequently, the following identities hold [32]

$$T_{ijm}T_{kl}{}^{m} = a^2\frac{1}{2}(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{jk}g_{il} - F_{ik}F_{jl} + F_{jk}F_{il}), \quad dT = a^2 F \wedge F = -2a^2 {*F},$$

where a^2 is a non-zero constant which can be identified with the Riemannian scalar curvature s^g, $15a^2 = s^g$. Applying the fact that (S^6, g) is a space of constant sectional curvature, i.e. $R^{\nabla^g}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}a^2(g_{jk}g_{il} - g_{ik}g_{jl})$ and (6.39), we calculate the Pontrjagin forms

$$16\pi^2 p_1(\nabla) = Tr(R^{\nabla} \wedge R^{\nabla}) = R^{\nabla}_{ijab}R^{\nabla}_{kl}{}^{ab} dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k \wedge dx^l = -\frac{3a^2}{4}dT;$$

$$16\pi^2 p_1(\tilde{\nabla}) = Tr(R^{\tilde{\nabla}} \wedge R^{\tilde{\nabla}}) = \frac{9a^2}{4}dT.$$

Remark 6.1. Observe that if we rescale the metric homothetically by a constant c, $\bar{g} = e^{2c}g$ then the new torsion $\bar{T} = e^{2c}T$ in the case of $SU(3)$-structure and $\bar{T} = e^{4c}T$ in the case of G_2 or $Spin(7)$-structure while the Pontrjagin 4-forms remain unchanged, $Tr(R^{\bar{\nabla}} \wedge R^{\bar{\nabla}}) = Tr(R^{\nabla} \wedge R^{\nabla})$, $\quad Tr(R^{\bar{\tilde{\nabla}}} \wedge R^{\bar{\tilde{\nabla}}}) = Tr(R^{\tilde{\nabla}} \wedge R^{\tilde{\nabla}})$ (see [22] for more precise discussion of this phenomena). Hence, if dT is proportional to the difference of the Pontrjagin 4-forms with a constant then we can always rescale the structure by a suitable constant in order to get the formulas (1.1) and (1.2).

Keeping Remark 6.1 in mind we obtain

Theorem 6.2. *The Nearly Kähler 6-sphere solves the gravitino equation, the gaugino equation with* $F^A = R^{\nabla}$ *and satisfies the modified Bianchi identity* (1.1) *and* (1.2) *with negative* α'. *Consequently,*

a) *the product* $(S^6 \times \mathbb{R}, \omega, A = \nabla^7)$ *with the* G_2*-structure described in section 4 solves all the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2). *Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 7.*

   The product $(S^6 \times S^1, \omega, A = \nabla^7)$ *is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *in dimension 7.*

b) *the product* $(S^6 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ *with the* $Spin(7)$*-structure described in section 5 solves the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2). *Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 8.*

   The product $(S^6 \times S^1 \times S^1, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ *is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *in dimension 8.*

Similarly to the SU(3)-case, any G_2-weak holonomy manifold (nearly-parallel G_2 manifold) is a G_2-instanton. Indeed, it is well known that any 7-dimensional nearly-parallel G_2 manifold is Einstein and the following identities hold

$$d\omega = -\lambda {*\omega}, \quad (d\omega, *\omega) = -\lambda, \tag{6.41}$$

where $\lambda^2 = \frac{8}{21}s^g$ is a non-zero constant. The torsion $T = -\frac{1}{6}\lambda\omega$ is ∇-parallel [32]. Hence, any nearly-parallel G_2-manifold is a G_2-instanton which solves the gravitino equation and the gaugino equation with $A = \nabla^7$ but does not solve the dilatino equation according to the result in [34].

There are many known examples of compact nearly parallel G_2-manifolds: S^7, $SO(5)/SO(3)$ [17, 71], the Aloff-Wallach spaces $N(g,l) = SU(3)/U(1)_{gl}$ [20], any Einstein-Sasakian and any 3-Sasakian 7-manifold [35, 36].

We consider the seven sphere (S^7, ω, g) endowed with the standard nearly-parallel G_2-structure induced by the octonions in $\mathbb{R}^8$, namely, consider the seven sphere as a totally umbilical hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^8$ [29]. Clearly $(S^7, \omega, g, \nabla, A = \nabla)$ is a G_2-instanton. We claim that it satisfies the modified Bianchi identity (1.1) and the anomaly cancellation condition (1.2). Indeed, we easily calculate from (6.40) applying (6.41), (6.39), the fact that (S^7, g) is a space of constant sectional curvature and some G_2-algebra, that

$$16\pi^2 p_1(\nabla) = Tr(R^{\nabla} \wedge R^{\nabla}) = R^{\nabla}_{ijab} R^{\nabla\, ab}_{kl} dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k \wedge dx^l = -\frac{\lambda^4}{8.27} {*\omega} = -\frac{\lambda^2}{36} dT;$$
$$16\pi^2 p_1(\tilde{\nabla}) = Tr(R^{\tilde{\nabla}} \wedge R^{\tilde{\nabla}}) = \frac{1}{54}\lambda^4 {*\omega} = \frac{1}{9}\lambda^2 dT.$$

We obtain using Remark 6.1 the following

Theorem 6.3. *The nearly-parallel 7-sphere solves the gravitino equation, the gaugino equation with $F^A = R^{\nabla}$ and satisfies the modified Bianchi identity* (1.1) *and* (1.2) *with negative α'.*

Consequently, the product $(S^7 \times \mathbb{R}, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ with the $Spin(7)$-structure described in section 5 solves the supersymmetry equations (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2). *Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 8.*

The product $(S^7 \times S^1, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *in dimension 8.*

We note that these sphere-solutions are (locally) conformally flat.

6.2. **$(SU(3), G_2, Spin(7))$-instanton and non-conformally flat non-compact solution.** In this section we present a non-locally-conformally flat solution starting with a nilpotent 6-dimensional Lie group.

Let G be the six-dimensional connected simply connected and nilpotent Lie group, determined by the left invariant 1-forms $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ such that

$$\begin{gathered} de_2 = de_3 = de_6 = 0, \\ de_1 = e_3 \wedge e_6, \quad de_4 = e_2 \wedge e_6, \quad de_5 = e_2 \wedge e_3. \end{gathered} \tag{6.42}$$

In terms of the standard coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_6$ on $\mathbb{R}^6$ the left invariant forms $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ are described by the expressions

$$\begin{gathered} e_2 = dx_2, e_3 = dx_3, e_6 = dx_6 \\ e_1 = dx_1 - x_6 dx_3, \quad e_4 = dx_4 - x_6 dx_2, \quad e_5 = dx_5 + x_2 dx_3. \end{gathered} \tag{6.43}$$

Consider the metric on $G \cong \mathbb{R}^6$ defined by $g = \sum_{i=1}^6 e_i^2$, or equivalently

$$\begin{gathered} ds^2 = dx_1^2 + (1 + x_6^2)dx_2^2 + (1 + x_2^2 + x_6^2)dx_3^2 + dx_4^2 + dx_5^2 + dx_6^2 \\ -x_6(dx_1dx_3 + dx_2dx_4) + x_2dx_3dx_5. \end{gathered} \tag{6.44}$$

Let (F, Ψ) be the $SU(3)$-structure on G given by (2.5). Then(G, F, Ψ) is an almost complex manifold with a $SU(3)$-structure.

We show below that this space is a new non-conformally flat $SU(3)$-instanton solving both the gravitino and gaugino equations satisfying the modified Bianchi identity (1.1) as well as the anomaly cancellation condition (1.2) but not solving the dilatino equation.

We compute the Riemannian curvature R^g. The general Koszul formula

$$\begin{aligned}2g(\nabla^g{}_XY,Z) &= Xg(Y,Z)+Yg(X,Z)-Zg(X,Y)\\ &+g([XY],Z)-g([Y,Z],X)-g([X,Z],Y)\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

gives the following essential non-zero terms

$$\begin{aligned}&2\nabla^g{}_{e_6}e_3=e_1, \quad 2\nabla^g{}_{e_2}e_3=-e_5, \quad 2\nabla^g{}_{e_6}e_2=e_4,\\ &2\nabla^g{}_{e_3}e_6=-e_1, \quad 2\nabla^g{}_{e_3}e_2=e_5, \quad 2\nabla^g{}_{e_2}e_6=-e_4,\\ &2\nabla^g{}_{e_1}e_6=-e_3, \quad 2\nabla^g{}_{e_5}e_2=e_3, \quad 2\nabla^g{}_{e_4}e_6=-e_2,\end{aligned} \tag{6.46}$$

Then we obtain $R^g(e_5,e_6,e_2,e_1)=-\frac{1}{4}\neq 0$. Hence, the metric is not locally conformally flat since the Weyl tensor $W^g(e_5,e_6,e_2,e_1)=R^g(e_5,e_6,e_2,e_1)=-\frac{1}{4}\neq 0$.

It is easy to verify using (2.4) and (6.42) that

$$\begin{aligned}dF=-3e_{236}, \quad N=-\Psi^-, \quad d\Psi^-=*F, \quad (N,\Psi^-)=-4,\\ \theta^6=d\Psi^+=(N,\Psi^+)=0.\end{aligned} \tag{6.47}$$

Hence, (G,Ψ,g,J) is neither complex nor Nearly Kähler manifold but it fulfills the conditions (4.22) of Theorem 4.1 and therefore there exists a $SU(3)$-holonomy connection with torsion 3-form on (G,Ψ,g,J). The expression (4.23) and (6.47) give

$$T=-2e_{145}+e_{136}+e_{235}-e_{246}, \quad dT=-2(e_{1256}+e_{3456}+e_{1234})=2*F. \tag{6.48}$$

Plug (6.46) and (6.48) into (3.11) to get that the nonzero essential terms of the torsion connection are

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_{e_1}e_6=-e_3, \qquad \nabla_{e_5}e_2=e_3, \qquad \nabla_{e_4}e_6=-e_2,\\ &\nabla_{e_4}e_5=-e_1, \qquad \nabla_{e_5}e_1=-e_4, \qquad \nabla_{e_1}e_4=-e_5.\end{aligned} \tag{6.49}$$

It follows from (6.49) and (6.48) that the torsion tensor T as well as the Nijenhuis tensor N are parallel with respect to the connection ∇. Hence, ∇ defines an $SU(3)$-instanton.

To verify the Bianchi identities for H we calculate the curvature R^∇ by means of (6.49). We obtain the following non-zero terms

$$\begin{aligned}R^\nabla(e_6,e_2,e_6,e_2)=R^\nabla(e_6,e_3,e_6,e_3)=R^\nabla(e_2,e_3,e_2,e_3)=1\\ R^\nabla(e_4,e_5,e_4,e_5)=R^\nabla(e_4,e_1,e_4,e_1)=R^\nabla(e_5,e_1,e_5,e_1)=1\\ R^\nabla(e_2,e_6,e_5,e_1)=R^\nabla(e_3,e_6,e_4,e_5)=R^\nabla(e_2,e_3,e_1,e_4)=-1.\end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

Applying (6.50), (6.48) and (6.39) it is straightforward to compute the first Pontrjagin 4-forms $p_1(\nabla)$ and $p_1(\tilde{\nabla})$. Compare the result with the second equality in (6.48) to get

$$dT=\frac{1}{2}Tr(R^\nabla\wedge R^\nabla)=-Tr(R^{\tilde{\nabla}}\wedge R^{\tilde{\nabla}}). \tag{6.51}$$

The coefficient of the structure equations of the Lie algebra given by (6.42) are integers. Therefore, the well-known theorem of Malcev [67] states that the group G has a uniform discrete subgroup Γ such that $Nil^6=G/\Gamma$ is a compact 6-dimensional nil-manifold. The $SU(3)$-structure, described above, descends to Nil^6 and therefore we obtain a compact $SU(3)$-instanton. With the help of Remark 6.1 and (6.51) we derive from Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 5.1 the following

Theorem 6.4. *The non conformally flat almost hermitian 6-manifold* $(G, g, F, \Psi, A = \nabla)$ *solves the gravitino and gaugino equations and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *with positive* α'. *Consequently,*

a) the product $(G \times \mathbb{R}, \omega, A = \nabla^7)$ *with the* G_2*-structure described in section 4 solves all the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2). *Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 7.*

The product $(Nil^6 \times S^1, \omega, A = \nabla^7)$ *is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1) *and* (1.2) *in dimension 7;*

b) the product $(Nil^6 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ *with the* $Spin(7)$*-structure described in section 5 solves the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2). *Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 8.*

The product $(Nil^6 \times S^1 \times S^1, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ *is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *in dimension 8.*

The G_2-analog of the Dolbeault cohomology on G_2-manifold was studied on $Nil^6 \times S^1$ in [30].

Remark 6.5. The space (G, g, J) is an example of an almost complex 6-manifold with totally skew-symmetric Nijenhuis tensor N and zero Lee form θ^6 which is neither complex nor Nearly Kähler but it is half-flat and therefore it determines a Riemannian metric with holonomy contained in G_2 on $G \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^7$ [55] which seems to be new. The explicit expression of this metric can be found solving the Hitchin flow equations

$$dF = \frac{\partial(\Psi^+)}{\partial t}, \quad d\Psi^- = -F \wedge \frac{\partial(F)}{\partial t},$$

where the $SU(3)$-structure depends on a real parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$ [55]. G_2-holonomy metrics arising from types of Hermitian 6-manifolds are studied recently in [5].

Remark 6.6. The torsion tensor T as well as the Nijenhuis tensor N of (Nil^6, g, J) are parallel with respect to the torsion connection ∇ but $\nabla R^\nabla \neq 0$ since the space is not naturally reductive due to the inequality $-1 = g([e_3, e_6], e_1) \neq -g([e_3, e_1], e_6) = 0$. Hence, (Nil^6, g, J) is an example of a compact non-naturally reductive almost Hermitian 6-manifold with totally skew-symmetric Nijenhuis tensor which is neither complex nor Nearly Kähler. The torsion as well as the Nijenhuis tensor are parallel with respect to the torsion connection.

Remark 6.7. Spaces for which the covariant derivative of the torsion is a four form (in particular zero) become automatically of 'instanton type'. Spaces with parallel torsion are studied in [1] in connection with string model; almost Hermitian 6-manifolds with parallel torsion are investigated very recently (after the first version of the present article was posted to the arXiv) in [3].

Remark 6.8. In general, on any almost hermitian manifold the Nijenhuis tensor N is the (3,0)+(0,3)-part of the torsion of any linear connection ∇ compatible with the almost hermitian structure (see e.g. [38]). Therefore, the condition $\nabla T = 0$ always implies $\nabla N = 0$ because ∇ preserves the type decomposition induced from the almost complex structure.

7. Almost contact metric structures and non-compact $SU(3)$-solutions in dimension 6

We construct in this section a new non-compact solution to the type I-supergravity equations of motion in dimension 6. We derive our solution from Sasakian structures in dimension 5.

Solutions to the gravitino and dilatino equations in dimension 5 are investigated in [32, 33]. In dimension five any solution to the gravitino equation, i.e. any parallel spinor with respect to a metric connection with torsion 3-form defines an almost contact metric structure (g, ξ, η, ψ) which is preserved by the torsion connection [32, 33]. It is shown in [33] that solutions to the both gravitino and dilatino equations are connected with a special type 'conformal' transformations of the almost contact structure introduced in [33].

We recall that an almost contact metric structure consists of an odd dimensional manifold M^{2k+1} equipped with a Riemannian metric g, vector field ξ of length one, its dual 1-form η as well as an endomorphism ψ of the tangent bundle such that

$$\psi(\xi) = 0, \quad \psi^2 = -id + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad g(\psi., \psi.) = g(.,.) - \eta \otimes \eta.$$

The Nijenhuis tensor N and the fundamental form F of an almost contact metric structure are defined by

$$F(.,.) = g(., \psi.), \quad N = [\psi, \psi] + d\eta \otimes \xi.$$

There are many special types of almost contact metric structures. We introduce those which are relevant to our considerations:

- normal almost contact structures determined by the condition $N = 0$;
- contact metric structures characterized by $d\eta = 2F$;
- quasi-Sasaki structures, $N = 0, dF = 0$. Consequently, ξ is a Killing vector [13];
- Sasaki structures, $N = 0, d\eta = 2F$. Consequently, ξ is a Killing vector [13].

An almost contact metric structure admits a linear connection ∇ with torsion 3-form preserving the structure, i.e. $\nabla g = \nabla \xi = \nabla \psi = 0$, if and only if the Nijenhuis tensor is totally skew-symmetric and the vector field ξ is Killing vector field [32]. In this case the torsion connection is unique. The torsion T of ∇ on a Sasakian manifold is expressed by $T = \eta \wedge d\eta = 2\eta \wedge F$ and the torsion T is ∇-parallel, $\nabla T = 0$ [32].

We restrict our attention to the Sasakian manifold in dimension five.

The spinor bundle Σ of a 5-dimensional contact metric spin manifold decomposes under the action of the fundamental 2-form F^5 into the sum $\Sigma = \Sigma^0 \oplus \Sigma^1 \oplus \Sigma^2, dim\Sigma^0 = dim\Sigma^2 = 1, dim\Sigma^1 = 2$. Spinors of type Σ^1 parallel with respect to the torsion connection on quasi-Sasakian 5-manifold are studied in [33].

We are interested in ∇^5-parallel spinors of type Σ^0orΣ^2 on Sasakian 5-manifold. We recall ([34], Theorem 9.2) that a 5-dimensional simply connected Sasakian manifold admits ∇^5-parallel spinor of type Σ^0orΣ^2 if and only if the Riemannian Ricci tensor Ric^g has the form

$$Ric^g = 6g - 2\eta \otimes \eta. \tag{7.52}$$

The Tanno deformation of a Sasakian structure satisfying (7.52), defined by the formulas

$$\psi' = \psi, \quad \xi' = \frac{3}{4}\xi, \quad \eta' = \frac{4}{3}\eta, \quad g' = \frac{4}{3}g + \frac{4}{9}\eta \otimes \eta,$$

yields an Einstein-Sasakian structure with Ricci tensor $Ric^{g'} = 4g'$ and vice versa.

We may choose locally an orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 = \xi$ such that

$$F^5 = e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4, \quad d\eta = de_5 = 2F^5, \quad T^5 = 2\eta \wedge F^5 = 2e_5 \wedge (e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4). \tag{7.53}$$

Let $M^6 = M^5 \times \mathbb{R}$. We indicate the objects on M^6 with a superscript 6. Consider the product Riemannian manifold $M^6 = M^5 \times \mathbb{R}$ with the product metric and the compatible almost complex structure J determined by the fundamental form

$$F^6 = F^5 + e_5 \wedge e_6, \tag{7.54}$$

where $e_6 = dt$ is the standard 1-form on $\mathbb{R}$.

The identity (7.54) yields $dF^6 = 2F^5 \wedge e_6 = 2e_6 \wedge F^6$. This equality tell us that the almost hermitian manifold (M^6, g^6, F^6) is locally conformally Kähler. In particular, the almost complex structure is integrable and the Lee form $\theta^6 = 2e_6 = 2dt$ is a closed 1-form on $\mathbb{R}$. It is easy to check that the torsion T^6 of the corresponding Bismut connection ∇^6 is determined by the equality $T^6 = T^5$. Consequently, $\nabla^6 T^6 = 0$. The Bismut connection defines an $SU(3)$-instanton on $M^5 \times \mathbb{R}$ which solves the three supersymmetry equations (1.3) provided M^5 is Sasakian 5-manifold whose Riemannian Ricci tensor satisfies (7.52).

7.1. **Non-conformally flat local $SU(3)$-solutions on $S^5 \times S^1$.** Let S^5 be the five-sphere with the standard Einstein Sasakian structure induced on S^5 by the usual complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^3$ considering S^5 as a totally geodesic hypersurface in the complex space $\mathbb{C}^3$. We consider $(S^5, g, \psi, \eta, \xi)$ as a Sasakian space form, i.e. a Tanno deformation of the standard Einstein-Sasakian structure on S^5. We may assume that the Riemannian curvature is given by (see e.g. [13]

$$\begin{aligned} R^g_{ijkl} = {} & \frac{4}{3}\left(g_{jk}g_{il} - g_{ik}g_{jl}\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left(F_{kj}F_{li} - F_{ki}F_{lj} + 2F_{ij}F_{lk}\right) + \\ & \frac{1}{3}\left(\eta_i\eta_k g_{jl} - \eta_j\eta_k g_{il} + \eta_j\eta_l g_{ik} - \eta_i\eta_l g_{jk}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.55}$$

Consider the locally conformally Kähler structure on $S^5 \times \mathbb{R}$ determined by (7.54). We claim that the corresponding Bismut connection satisfies the modified Bianchi identity (1.1) as well as the anomaly cancellation condition (1.2). Indeed, the equation (7.53) yields $dT^6 = dT^5 = d\eta \wedge d\eta = 4F^5 \wedge F^5$.

Use (6.40), apply (7.53) and (7.55), to get

$$R^{\nabla^6}_{ijkl} = \frac{4}{3}\left(g_{jk}g_{il} - g_{ik}g_{jl} + \eta_i\eta_k g_{jl} - \eta_j\eta_k g_{il} + \eta_j\eta_l g_{ik} - \eta_i\eta_l g_{jk}\right) + \frac{1}{6}dT^6_{ijkl}.$$

The latter equality as well as (6.39) help to calculate the Pontrjagin forms

$$16\pi^2 p_1(\nabla^6) = Tr(R^{\nabla^6} \wedge R^{\nabla^6}) = -\frac{8}{3}dT^6, \quad 16\pi^2 p_1(\tilde{\nabla}^6) = \frac{16}{3}dT^6.$$

Keeping Remark 6.1 in mind we obtain

Theorem 7.1. *The Sasakian space form $(S^5, g^5, \psi, \eta, \xi)$ solves the gravitino equation and satisfies the modified Bianchi identity* (1.1) *and* (1.2) *for $F^A = R^{\nabla^5}$ with negative α'. Consequently,*

a) the product $(S^5 \times \mathbb{R}, g^6, F^6, \nabla^6, A = \nabla^6)$ solves all the supersymmetry equations (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2)*. Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 6.*

The product $(S^5 \times S^1, g^6, F^6, A = \nabla^6)$ is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *in dimension 6.*

b) the product $(S^5 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \omega, A = \nabla^7)$ with the G_2-structure described in section 4 solves all the supersymmetry equations (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2)*. Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 7.*

The product $(S^5 \times S^1 \times S^1, \omega, A = \nabla^7)$ *is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *in dimension 7.*

c) *the product* $(S^5 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ *with the* $Spin(7)$*-structure described in section 5 solves the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *with non-zero flux, non-constant dilaton and satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2). *Therefore it solves the equations of motion in dimension 8.*

The product $(S^5 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1, \Phi, A = \nabla^8)$ *is a compact space solving locally the supersymmetry equations* (1.3) *which satisfies the Bianchi identity* (1.1), (1.2) *in dimension 8.*

Remark 7.2. Note that all compact examples we have presented in Section 6 and Section 7 solve the supersymmetry equations only locally since the closed Lee form θ is actually a closed 1-form on a circle and therefore it can not be exact. This is consistent with the vanishing results claiming that there are no compact solutions with globally defined non-constant dilaton and non-zero flux in type II and type I supergravities.

References

[1] I. Agricola, *Connections on naturally reductive spaces, their Dirac operator and homogeneous models in string theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **232** (2003), 536-563. 17

[2] L. Alessandrini, G. Bassanelli, *Metric properties of manifolds bimeromorphic to compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Diff. Geometry **37** (1993), 95-121. 5

[3] B. Alexandrov, Th. Friedrich, N.Schoemann, *Almost Hermitian 6-manifolds Revisited*, J. Geom. Phys. **53** (2005) 1-30. 17

[4] B. Alexandrov, S. Ivanov, *Vanishing theorems on Hermitian manifolds*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **14** (3) (2001), 251-265. 8, 11

[5] V. Apostolov, S. Salamon, *Kaehler reduction of metrics with holonomy* G_2, Commun. Math. Phys. **246** (2004), 43–61. 17

[6] K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta, P.S. Green, *Compactifications of Heterotic Theory on Non-Kahler Complex Manifolds: I*, JHEP 0304 (2003) 007. 3, 7

[7] K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta, P.S. Green, E. Sharpe, *Compactifications of Heterotic Strings on Non-Kahler Complex Manifolds: II*, Nucl. Phys. **B678** (2004), 19-100. 3, 7, 8

[8] K. Behrndt, C. Jeschek, *Fluxes in M-theory on 7-manifolds: G-structures and Superpotential*, Nucl. Phys. **B694** (2004), 99-114. 7, 13

[9] F. Belgun, A. Moroianu, *Nearly Kähler 6-manifolds with reduced holonomy*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **19** (2001), no. 4, 307-319. 13

[10] E. A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, *The quartic effective action of the heterotic string and supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. **B328** (1989), 439. 2

[11] A. Bilal, J.-P. Derendinger, K. Sfetsos *(Weak)* G_2 *Holonomy from Self-duality, Flux and Supersymmetry*, Nucl.Phys. **B628** (2002), 112-132. 3, 13

[12] J.-M. Bismut, *A local index theorem for non-Kähler manifolds* Math. Ann. **284** (1989), no. 4, 681–699. 8

[13] D. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lect. Notes Math. vol. 509, Springer Verlag, 1976. 18, 19

[14] A. Brandhuber, J. Gomis, S. Gubser, S. Gukov, *Gauge Theory at Large N and New* G_2 *Holonomy Metrics*, Nucl. Phys. **B611** (2001) 179-204. 5

[15] E. Bonan, *Sur le variétés riemanniennes a groupe d'holonomie* G_2 *ou* $Spin(7)$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **262** (1966), 127-129. 6, 7

[16] R. Bryant, *Metrics with exeptional holonomy*, Ann. Math. **126** (1987), 525-576. 5, 6, 7

[17] R. Bryant, S.Salamon, *On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), 829-850. 6, 7, 15

[18] F. Cabrera, *On Riemannian manifolds with $Spin(7)$-structure*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **46** (3-4) (1995), 271-283. 7

[19] F. Cabrera, *On Riemannian manifolds with G_2-structure*, Bolletino UMI A **10** (7) (1996), 98-112. 6

[20] F. Cabrera, M. Monar, A. Swann, *Classification of G_2-structures*, J. London Math. Soc. **53** (1996), 407-416. 15

[21] G. L. Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall'Agata, D. Lust, P. Manousselis, G. Zoupanos, *Non-Kaehler String Backgrounds and their Five Torsion Classes*, Nucl.Phys. **B652** (2003) 5-34. 2, 3, 5, 7, 11

[22] G. L. Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall'Agata, D. Lust, *BPS Action and Superpotential for Heterotic String Compactifications with Fluxes*, JHEP 0310 (2003) 004. 2, 3, 7, 14

[23] S. Chiossi, S. Salamon, *The intrinsic torsion of SU(3) and G_2-structures*, Differential Geometry, Valencia 2001, World Sci. Publishing, 2002, pp. 115-133. 3, 5, 6, 10, 11

[24] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D.B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, *First-order equations for gauge fields in spaces of dimension greater than four*, Nuclear Phys. B **214** (1983), no. 3, 452–464. 9

[25] B.de Wit, D.J.Smit, N.D.Hari Dass, *Residual Supersimmetry Of Compactified D=10 Supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B 283** (1987), 165. 2

[26] S.K. Donaldson, R.P. Thomas, *Gauge theory in higher dimensions*, The geometric universe (Oxford, 1996), 31–47, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998. 9

[27] D.B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, *Spherically symmetric solutions of gauge theories in eight dimensions*, J. Phys. **A17** (1984) 2867. 2

[28] M. Fernandez, *A classification of Riemannian manifolds with structure group $Spin(7)$*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **143** (1982), 101-122. 7

[29] M. Fernandez, A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 32 (1982), 19-45. 6, 15

[30] M. Fernández, L. Ugarte, *Dolbeault cohomology for G_2-manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **70** (1998), no. 1, 57–86. 6, 17

[31] D.Z.Freedman, G.W.Gibbons, P.C.West, *Ten Into Four Won't Go*, Phys. Lett. **B 124** (1983), 491. 2

[32] Th. Friedrich, S. Ivanov *Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), 3003-336. 3, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 15, 18

[33] Th. Friedrich, S. Ivanov, *Almost contact manifolds, connections with torsion, parallel spinors*, J. reine angew. Math. **559** (2003), 217-236. 3, 18

[34] Th. Friedrich, S.Ivanov, *Killing spinor equations in dimension 7 and geometry of integrable G_2 manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys **48** (2003), 1-11. 3, 6, 8, 15, 18

[35] Th. Friedrich, I. Kath, *7-dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds with Killing spinors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133 (1990)**, no. 3, 543–561. 15

[36] Th. Friedrich, I. Kath, A. Moroianu, U. Semmelmann, *On nearly parallel G_2-structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **23** (1997), no. 3-4, 259–286. 15

[37] S. Fubini, H. Nikolai, *The octonionic instanton*, Phys. Let. B **155** (1985) 369. 2

[38] P. Gauduchon, *Hermitian connections and Dirac operators*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) **11** (1997), no. 2, suppl., 257–288. 8, 17

[39] P. Gauduchon, *Fibrés hermitiens à endomorphisme de Ricci non négatif*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **105** (1977), no. 2, 113–140. 5

[40] J.Gauntlett, N. Kim, D.Martelli, D.Waldram, *Fivebranes wrapped on SLAG three-cycles and related geometry*, JHEP 0111 (2001) 018. 3, 6, 7, 8, 11

[41] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, S. Pakis, D. Waldram, *G-Structures and Wrapped NS5-Branes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **247** (2004), 421-445. 2, 3, 7, 11

[42] J.Gauntlett, D.Martelli, D.Waldram, *Superstrings with Intrinsic torsion*, Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 086002. 1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 11

[43] G.W. Gibbons, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, K.S. Stelle, *Supersymmetric Domain Walls from Metrics of Special Holonomy*, Nucl. Phys. **B623** (2002) 3-46. 5

[44] G.W. Gibbons, D.N. Page, C.N. Pope, *Einstein metrics on $S^3, \mathbb{R}^3$, and $\mathbb{R}^4$ bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **127** (1990), 529-553. 6, 7

[45] J. Gillard, G. Papadopoulos, D. Tsimpis, *Anomaly, Fluxes and (2,0) Heterotic-String Compactifications*, JHEP 0306 (2003) 035. 2, 3, 7, 11

[46] E. Goldstein, S. Prokushkin, *Geometric Model for Complex Non-Kaehler Manifolds with SU(3) Structure*, Commun. Math. Phys. **251** (2004), 65-78. 3

[47] A. Gray, *Vector cross product on manifolds*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **141** (1969), 463-504, Correction **148** (1970), 625. 6, 7, 14

[48] A. Gray, L. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **123** (1980), 35–58. 5

[49] S. Gurrieri, A. Micu, *Type IIB Theory on Half-flat Manifolds*, Class.Quant.Grav. **20** (2003), 2181-2192 3, 5, 13

[50] S. Gurrieri, J. Louis, A. Micu, D. Waldram, *Mirror Symmetry in Generalized Calabi-Yau Compactifications*, Nucl.Phys. **B654** (2003) 61-113 3, 5, 11, 13

[51] J. Gutowski, S. Ivanov, G. Papadopoulos, *Deformations of generalized calibrations and compact non-Kahler manifolds with vanishing first Chern class*, Asian J. Math. **7** (2003), 39-80. 3

[52] M.Günaydin, H. Nikolai, *Seven-dimensional octonionic Yang-Mills instanton and its extension to an heterotic string soliton*, Phys. Lett. B **353** (1991) 169. 2

[53] R. Harvey, H.B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47-157. 6

[54] J.A. Harvey, A. Strominger, *Octonionic superstring solitons*, Phys. Review Let. **66** 5 (1991) 549. 2, 9

[55] N. Hitchin, *Stable forms and special metrics*, Global differential geometry: the mathematical legacy of Alfred Gray (Bilbao, 2000), 70–89, Contemp. Math., **288**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. 4, 5, 10, 14, 17

[56] S. Ivanov, *Geometry of quaternionic Kähler connections with torsion*, J. Geom. Phys. **41** (2002), no. 3, 235–257. 13

[57] S. Ivanov, *Connection with torsion, parallel spinors and geometry of Spin(7) manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), no. 2-3, 171–186. 3, 7, 8

[58] S. Ivanov, G. Papadopoulos, *A no-go theorem for string warped compactifications*, Phys.Lett. **B497** (2001) 309-316. 2, 3, 8

[59] S. Ivanov, G. Papadopoulos, *Vanishing Theorems and String Backgrounds*, Class.Quant.Grav. **18** (2001) 1089-1110. 3, 8, 11

[60] D. Joyce, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2. I*, J.Diff. Geom. 43 (1996), 291-328. 6, 7

[61] ———, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2. II*, J.Diff. Geom., 43 (1996), 329-375. 6, 7

[62] ———, Compact Riemannian manifolds with special holonomy, Oxford University Press, 2000. 6

[63] S. Kachru, M.B. Schulz, P.K. Tripathy, S.P. Trivedi, *New Supersymmetric String Compactifications*, JHEP 0303 (2003) 061 2, 3

[64] V. Kirichenko, *K-spaces of maximal rank*, (russian), Mat. Zam. **22** (1977), 465-476. 13

[65] A. Kovalev, *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*, J. Reine Angew. math. **565** (2003), 125-160. 6

[66] B. Lawson, M.-L.Michelsohn, Spin Geometry, Princeton University Press, 1989. 6, 7

[67] A. I. Malcev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, reprinted in Amer. Math. Soc. Translations, Series 1, **9** (1962), 276-307. 16

[68] M.L. Michelsohn, *On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry*, Acta Math. **149** (1982), no. 3-4, 261–295. 5

[69] G. Papadopoulos *(2,0)-supersymmetric sigma models and almost complex structures*, Nucl.Phys. **B448** (1995), 199-219. 3

[70] R. Reyes Carrión, *A generalization of the notion of instanton*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **8** (1998), no. 1, 1–20. 9

[71] S. Salamon, Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 201 (1989). 6, 7, 15

[72] S. Salamon, *Almost parallel structures*, Global differential geometry: the mathematical legacy of Alfred Gray (Bilbao, 2000), 162–181, Contemp. Math., **288**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. 14

[73] A. Strominger, *Superstrings with torsion*, Nucl. Phys. B **274** (1986) 253. 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13

[74] L. Ugarte, *Coeffective Numbers of Riemannian 8-manifold with Holonomy in Spin(7)*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **19** (2001), 35-53. 7

(P.Ivanov) University of Sofia "St. Kl. Ohridski", Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,, Blvd. James Bourchier 5,, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail address: `fn10854@fmi.uni-sofia.bg`

(S.Ivanov) University of Sofia "St. Kl. Ohridski", Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,, Blvd. James Bourchier 5,, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail address: `ivanovsp@fmi.uni-sofia.bg`